(8) Lawyer Hears the Good Samaritan Story (Luke 10:25-37)

- 1. This episode follows (and illustrates) the Lord's comments contrasting the wise and intelligent with the babes to whom God the Father unveiled His plan (Lk. 10:21,25).
- 2. Nomikos Tis takes his stand to put the Lord his God to the test (Lk. 10:25).
 - a. νομικός nomikos ^{#3544}_{9x}: an expert in Mosaic Law (Mt. 22:35; Lk. 7:30; 10:25; 11:45,46,52; 14:3; Tit. 3:9,13). Largely parallel to the term "scribe" the lawyer was expert in the Law of Moses for Jewish observance (see Mark 12:28 || Lk. 10:25; Mt. 22:35).
 - b. ἐκπειράζω ekpeirazō $^{\#1598}_{4x}$: to put to the test, *tempt*. Mt. 4:7; Lk. 4:12 || Dt. 6:16.
- 3. The Lawyers question is similar to the Philippian Jailer's but with a contrary motivation.
 - a. Doing what? Aor.act.ptc. π 01 $\acute{\epsilon}\omega$ poie \ddot{o} $^{\#4160}_{568x}$: to make, do.
 - b. Inherit eternal life. Fut.act.ind. or Aor.act.subj. κληρονομέω klēronomeō #2816_{18x}: acquire, inherit.
- 4. Jesus replied to the Lawyer by appealing to the Law and the Lawyer's own recitation of it (Lk. 10:26).
 - a. The Lawyer's answer is a doctrinal synthesis of Deut. 6:5 & Lev. 19:18.
 - b. The doctrinal synthesis was correct in itself but also contained a logical fallacy.
 - 1) Flawed premise with a bare assertion fallacy: Adherence to the Law produces worthiness to earn eternal life (Heb. 10:1; Gal. 3:17-25).
 - 2) Beyond the flaw in this premise is the inability of man to keep the whole Law 100% perfectly for an entire human life (Mt. 5:48).
 - 3) Actual truth: Perfect fulfillment of the Law demonstrates worthiness to provide eternal life (Heb. 5:9; Rom. 10:3-5; Gal. 4:4,5).
 - c. Jesus affirmed the Lawyer's doctrinal synthesis and let the fallacy continue for the moment. The Lawyer's own shortcomings were about to be exposed through the illustration of "love your neighbor."
- 5. The Good Samaritan parable illustrates unconditional, sacrificial, integrity love in a timeless manner.
 - a. The Good Samaritan transcends dispensations and ages. This principle of love is applicable under law and grace (plus innocence, conscience, promise, tribulation, kingdom, etc.).
 - b. The Good Samaritan spans every conceivable interpersonal relationship.
- 6. The parable specifics.
 - a. A certain man fell among (περιπίπτω peripiptō ^{#4045}_{3x}) robbers (λησταί lē_istai ^{#3027}_{15x}) and was beaten half-dead (ἡμιθανής hēmithanēs ^{#2253}_{Hap}).
 - b. By chance (κατὰ συγκυρίαν kata sunkurian #4795 Hap.) a priest & a Levite passed by (ἀντιπαρέρχομαι antiparerchomai #492 2x) (Lk. 10:1-32).
 - 1) Both the priest and the Levite should be expected to fulfill the essence of the Law.
 - 2) This "chance" is a sovereignly designated testing condition.
 - c. A Samaritan on a journey (ὁδεύω hodeuō #3593 Hap.) felt compassion (σπλαγχνίζομαι splanchnizomai #4697 (Lk. 10:33).
 - 1) The Samaritan is under no obligation whatsoever to adhere to the Mosaic Law, but applies the God fearing principles nevertheless (Rom. 2:14-16).
 - 2) $^{\prime}$ Ay $\alpha\pi\eta$ may not take merit into account, but it certainly is not devoid of emotion.
 - d. Neighborly caring goes beyond first aid to in-patient treatment and rehab-therapy (Lk. 10:34).
 - e. When the Samaritan left the victim in the innkeeper's care, we see a picture of stewardship and recompense (Lk. 10:35).
- 7. The parable imperative: Go and do likewise. Our standard of evaluation at the judgment?